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#### Abstract

In this paper, we classify 3 -dimensional minimal CR submanifolds $M$ of the nearly Kähler 6dimensional sphere which satisfy Chen's basic equality, i.e. $\delta_{M}(p)=2$, where $\delta_{M}(p)=\tau(p)-\inf K(p)$, for every $p \in M$. (C) 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Submanifolds of the nearly Kähler 6-dimensional sphere $S^{6}(1)$ have been an active field of research for years and many authors have described a lot of interesting geometric properties of these submanifolds (see for example [7,10,12,18]).

Considering $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ as the imaginary Cayley numbers, it is possible to introduce a vector cross product $\times$ on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$, which in its turn induces an almost complex structure $J$ on the standard unit sphere $S^{6}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ which is compatible with the standard metric. It was shown by Calabi and Gluck, see [4], that this structure, from a geometric viewpoint, is the best possible almost complex structure on $S^{6}(1)$. Details about this construction are recalled in Section 2.

[^0]With respect to the almost complex structure $J$, in the study of submanifolds, it is natural to study submanifolds for which $J$ maps the tangent space into the tangent space (and hence also the normal space into the normal space) and those for which $J$ maps the tangent into the normal space. The first class are called almost complex submanifolds and it was shown by Gray in [14] that they have to be two-dimensional (complex one-dimensional).

The second class of submanifolds mentioned, which by its definition have to be 1-, 2- or 3 -dimensional, are called totally real submanifolds. By definition every curve is totally real. Minimal totally real surfaces have been studied and characterized in [2] and [3]. A 3-dimensional totally real submanifold is called a Lagrangian submanifold. In that case the almost complex structure exchanges at each point the tangent and normal space. These submanifolds were first investigated by Ejiri, [12], who showed that a Lagrangian submanifold is always orientable and minimal.

Further, let $M$ be a submanifold in the nearly Kähler 6-sphere $S^{6}(1)$. A subspace $V \subset T_{p} M$ is called totally real if $J V \subset T_{p}^{\perp} M$, where $T_{p} M$ and $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ denote the tangent space and the normal space of $M$ at $p$, respectively. A submanifold $M$ of $S^{6}(1)$ is called a CR submanifold if there exists on $M$ a differentiable holomorphic distribution $\mathcal{H}$ (i.e. $J \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}$ ) such that its orthogonal complement $\mathcal{H}^{\perp} \subset T M$ is a totally real distribution [1]. A CR submanifold is called proper if it is neither totally real (i.e. $\mathcal{H}^{\perp}=T M$ ) nor holomorphic (i.e. $\mathcal{H}=T M$ ).

On the other hand, for a Riemannian $n$-manifold $M^{n}$ denote by $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of a plane section $\pi \subset T_{p} M^{n}, p \in M^{n}$. For an orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of the tangent space $T_{p} M^{n}$, the scalar curvature $\tau$ at $p$ is defined by

$$
\tau=\sum_{i<j} K\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)
$$

For each point $p \in M^{n}$, let $(\inf K)(p)=\inf \left\{K(\pi):\right.$ plane sections $\left.\pi \subset T_{p} M^{n}\right\}$. Then $\inf K$ is a well-defined function on $M^{n}$. Let $\delta_{M}$ denote the difference between the scalar curvature and $\inf K$, i.e.,

$$
\delta_{M}(p)=\tau(p)-\inf K(p) .
$$

It is obvious that $\delta_{M}$ is a well-defined Riemannian invariant which is trivial when $n \leq 2$ (cf. [5] for details).

For a submanifold $M^{n}$ in a Riemannian manifold $R^{m}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature $c$, the following basic inequality involving the intrinsic invariant $\delta_{M}$ and the squared mean curvature $H^{2}$ was first established in [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{M} \leq \frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{2(n-1)} H^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n-2) c . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a natural and very interesting problem to study and to understand submanifolds which satisfy the equality case of this inequality, which is known as Chen's basic equality (see, for example [9]). For such submanifolds there is a canonical distribution defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}(p)=\left\{X \in T_{p} M^{n} \mid(n-1) h(X, Y)=n\langle X, Y\rangle \vec{H}, \forall Y \in T_{p} M^{n}\right\}
$$

where $\vec{H}$ is the mean curvature vector field and $h$ is the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$ in a Riemannian manifold $R^{m}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature $c$. If the dimension of $\mathcal{D}(p)$ is constant, it is shown in [5] that the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is completely integrable.

For minimal submanifolds of $S^{m}(1)$, the inequality (1) gives an upper bound for $\delta_{M}$ and reduces to

$$
\delta_{M} \leq \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n-2) .
$$

In this paper we will assume that $M$ is a 3-dimensional minimal CR-submanifold of $S^{6}(1)$ which satisfies Chen's basic equality, i.e. $\delta_{M}=2$. In the case that $M$ is Lagrangian a complete classification has been obtained in [10]. Therefore, we may restrict ourselves here to the case that $M$ is a proper CR-submanifold. Such submanifolds satisfying Chen's basic equality have been previously studied in [17], where the main result states:

Theorem 1. There exist no 3-dimensional proper CR-submanifold in $S^{6}(1)$ satisfying Chen's basic equality under the condition that $\mathcal{D}$ is totally real.

The main theorem we prove is the following:
Main Theorem. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional minimal $C R$-submanifold in $S^{6}$ satisfying Chen's basic equality. Then $M$ is a totally real submanifold or locally $M$ is congruent with the immersion

$$
\begin{align*}
f(t, u, v)= & (\cos t \cos u \cos v, \sin t, \cos t \sin u \cos v, \cos t \cos u \sin v, 0, \\
& -\cos t \sin u \sin v, 0) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1. We notice that (2) can also be described algebraically by the equations

$$
x_{5}=0=x_{7}, \quad x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}+x_{6}^{2}=1, \quad x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{6}=0,
$$

from which we see that it can be seen as a hypersurface lying in a totally geodesic $S^{4}(1)$.
Remark 2. The first example of a 3-dimensional proper CR-submanifold was given by Sekigawa in [18]. Further examples, including a generalization of Sekigawa's example and a classification of those which are an orbit of a 3-dimensional simple subgroup of $G_{2}$, are given in [16].

Remark 3. It is proved in [6] that a submanifold of complex projective space satisfies Chen's basic equality if and only if the submanifold is a totally geodesic complex submanifold. Proper CR-submanifolds of complex hyperbolic spaces which satisfy the basic equality have been completely classified in [8].

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. The vector cross product and the almost complex structure on $S^{6}(1)$

We give a brief exposition of how the standard nearly Kähler structure on $S^{6}(1)$ arises in a natural manner from the Cayley multiplication. For further details about the Cayley numbers and their automorphism group $G_{2}$, we refer the reader to [19] and [15].

The multiplication on the Cayley numbers $\mathcal{O}$ may be used to define a vector cross product $\times$ on the purely imaginary Cayley numbers $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \times v=\frac{1}{2}(u v-v u), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)=-\frac{1}{2}(u v+v u) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now elementary [15] to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \times(v \times w)+(u \times v) \times w=2(u, w) v-(u, v) w-(w, v) u, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the triple scalar product $(u \times v, w)$ is skew symmetric in $u, v, w$.
Conversely, Cayley multiplication on $\mathcal{O}$ is given in terms of the vector cross product and the inner product by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r+u)(s+v)=r s-(u, v)+r v+s u+(u \times v), \quad r, s \in \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{O}), u, v \in \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3), (4) and (6), it is clear that the group $G_{2}$ of automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}$ is precisely the group of isometries of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ preserving the vector cross product.

An ordered orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}$ is said to be a $G_{2}$-frame if

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{3}=e_{1} \times e_{2}, \quad e_{5}=e_{1} \times e_{4}, \quad e_{6}=e_{2} \times e_{4}, \quad e_{7}=e_{3} \times e_{4} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, the standard basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ is a $G_{2}$-frame. Moreover, if $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{4}$ are mutually orthogonal unit vectors with $e_{4}$ orthogonal to $e_{1} \times e_{2}$, then $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{4}$ determine a unique $G_{2}$-frame $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}, \times\right)$ is generated by $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{4}$ subject to the relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i} \times\left(e_{j} \times e_{k}\right)+\left(e_{i} \times e_{j}\right) \times e_{k}=2 \delta_{i k} e_{j}-\delta_{i j} e_{k}-\delta_{j k} e_{i} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for any $G_{2}$-frame, we have the following very useful multiplication table [19]:

| x | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | $e_{4}$ | $e_{5}$ | $e_{6}$ | $e_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 0 | $e_{3}$ | $-e_{2}$ | $e_{5}$ | $-e_{4}$ | $-e_{7}$ | $e_{6}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | $-e_{3}$ | 0 | $e_{1}$ | $e_{6}$ | $e_{7}$ | $-e_{4}$ | $-e_{5}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | $e_{2}$ | $-e_{1}$ | 0 | $e_{7}$ | $-e_{6}$ | $e_{5}$ | $-e_{4}$ |
| $e_{4}$ | $-e_{5}$ | $-e_{6}$ | $-e_{7}$ | 0 | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ |
| $e_{5}$ | $e_{4}$ | $-e_{7}$ | $e_{6}$ | $-e_{1}$ | 0 | $-e_{3}$ | $e_{2}$ |
| $e_{6}$ | $e_{7}$ | $e_{4}$ | $-e_{5}$ | $-e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | 0 | $-e_{1}$ |
| $e_{7}$ | $-e_{6}$ | $e_{5}$ | $e_{4}$ | $-e_{3}$ | $-e_{2}$ | $e_{1}$ | 0 |

The standard nearly Kähler structure on $S^{6}(1)$ is then obtained as follows:

$$
J u=x \times u, \quad u \in T_{x} S^{6}(1), \quad x \in S^{6}(1) .
$$

It is clear that $J$ is an almost complex structure on $S^{6}(1)$. In fact $J$ is a nearly Kähler structure in the sense that the $(2,1)$-tensor field $G$ on $S^{6}(1)$ defined by

$$
G(X, Y)=\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} J\right) Y,
$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $S^{6}(1)$, is skew-symmetric. A straightforward computation also shows that

$$
G(X, Y)=X \times Y-\langle x \times X, Y\rangle x
$$

For more information on the properties of $\cdot, J$ and $G$, we refer to [2] and [11].

### 2.2. Minimal submanifolds of a nearly Kähler sphere $S^{6}(1)$ and Chen's basic equality

Let $M$ be a Riemannian submanifold of a nearly Kähler sphere $S^{6}(1)$ and let us denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\nabla$ the Riemannian connection of $S^{6}(1)$ and $M$, respectively, and by $\nabla^{\perp}$ the normal connection induced from $\tilde{\nabla}$ in the normal bundle $T^{\perp} M$ of $M$ in $S^{6}(1)$. They are related by the following well-known Gauss equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to the submanifold, where $h$ denotes the second fundamental form, and by the Weingarten equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \xi=-A_{\xi} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} \xi \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the shape operator and $\xi$ is a normal vector field.
It is well-known that the second fundamental form and the shape operator are related by $\langle h(X, Y), \xi\rangle=\left\langle A_{\xi} X, Y\right\rangle$. The mean curvature vector $\vec{H}$ of the immersion is given by

$$
\vec{H}=\frac{1}{n} \text { trace } h .
$$

A submanifold is said to be minimal if its mean curvature vector vanishes identically.
Denote by $R$ the Riemann curvature tensor of $M$. Then the equation of Gauss is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y ; Z, W)= & \langle Y, Z\rangle\langle X, W\rangle-\langle X, Z\rangle\langle Y, W\rangle \\
& +\langle h(X, W), h(Y, Z)\rangle-\langle h(X, Z), h(Y, W)\rangle \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

for vectors $X, Y, Z, W$ tangent to $M$.
First, we recall the following result from [5], which we formulate here in an invariant way using the previously defined distribution $\mathcal{D}$, for 3-dimensional submanifolds of $S^{6}(1)$ :

Lemma 1. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional submanifold of a nearly Kähler sphere $S^{6}(1)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{M} \leq \frac{9}{4} H^{2}+2 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds at a point p if and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}(p)=\left\{X \in T_{p} M^{3} \mid 2 h(X, Y)=3\langle X, Y\rangle \vec{H}, \forall Y \in T_{p} M^{n}\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

has dimension greater or equal to 1.
We also need the following lemma from [17]:
Lemma 2. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional minimal $C R$-submanifold in $S^{6}(1)$. If $M$ satisfies Chen's basic equality and $\mathcal{D}$ is totally real, then $M$ is a totally real submanifold.

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

Since a 3-dimensional CR-submanifold is either totally real or proper, from now on we always assume that $M$ is a 3 -dimensional minimal proper CR-submanifold of $S^{6}(1)$ which satisfies Chen's equality at every point $p \in M$. In that case, the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ becomes the nullity distribution and we know that at each point $p$ of $M$, the dimension of $\mathcal{D}(p)$ is at least 1 . Then, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional minimal submanifold of a nearly Kähler sphere $S^{6}(1)$ satisfying Chen's equality. Then, for any $p$ in $M, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}(p)>1$ if and only $p$ is a totally geodesic point. Moreover, in a neighborhood of a non-totally geodesic point, the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is differentiable.

Proof. If at a point $p, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}(p)>1$, as $M$ is minimal, there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ at the point $p$ such that $u_{2}, u_{3} \in \mathcal{D}$. The definition of $\mathcal{D}$ then implies that

$$
h\left(v, u_{2}\right)=h\left(v, u_{3}\right)=0,
$$

for any vector $v$. The minimality of $M$ then implies that $h\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)=0$. Hence $p$ is a totally geodesic point.

Assume now that $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{D})=1$ in a neighborhood of $p$ and that $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis at the point $p$ such that $u_{3}$ spans $\mathcal{D}$. Then from the Gauss equation and the minimality of $M$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(u_{2}, u_{2}\right)=1-\left\|h\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right)\right\|^{2}-\left\|h\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|^{2}<1 \\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(u_{1}, u_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(u_{2}, u_{3}\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(u_{3}, u_{3}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that $u_{3}$ is the unique eigenvector of the Ricci tensor with eigenvalue 1 . As the Ricci tensor is a differentiable operator, its eigenspaces with constant multiplicities are differentiable.

Note that it is well known, see for example [13], that there does not exist a totally geodesic CR-submanifold of $S^{6}(1)$. Therefore the subset of non-totally geodesic points is an open dense subset of $M$. In the remainder we will restrict ourselves to this open dense subset. In that case, we denote by $u_{3}$ a differentiable unit vector field which spans $\mathcal{D}$. By the theorem of Sasahara, see Lemma 2 [17], restricting to an open dense subset if necessary, we know that $\mathcal{D}$ is not totally real. Therefore by projecting onto $\mathcal{H}$ and normalizing we can define a differentiable vector field $E_{1}$.

Since $M$ is a 3-dimensional CR-submanifold in $S^{6}(1)$, it follows that we can choose orthonormal differentiable vector fields $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\} \in T_{p} M$ defined on a neighborhood of the point $p$, such that $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}=J E_{1}\right\} \in \mathcal{H}, E_{3} \in \mathcal{H}^{\perp}$ and $\left\{J E_{3}, E_{1} \times E_{3}, J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)\right\} \in T_{p}^{\perp} M$.

We then introduce local functions $a_{1}, \ldots, c_{3}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\nabla_{E_{1}} E_{1}=a_{1} E_{2}+a_{2} E_{3}, & \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{2}=-a_{1} E_{1}+a_{3} E_{3}, & \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{3}=-a_{2} E_{1}-a_{3} E_{2}, \\
\nabla_{E_{2}} E_{1}=b_{1} E_{2}+b_{2} E_{3}, & \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{2}=-b_{1} E_{1}+b_{3} E_{3}, & \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{3}=-b_{2} E_{1}-b_{3} E_{2}, \\
\nabla_{E_{3}} E_{1}=c_{1} E_{2}+c_{2} E_{3}, & \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{2}=-c_{1} E_{1}+c_{3} E_{3}, & \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{3}=-c_{2} E_{1}-c_{3} E_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

By the construction of $E_{1}$, there exists a differentiable function $\theta$ such that

$$
u_{3}=\cos \theta E_{1}+\sin \theta E_{3}
$$

We now define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{2}=E_{2}, \quad u_{1}=-\sin \theta E_{1}+\cos \theta E_{3}, \quad u_{4}=J E_{3}, \\
& u_{5}=E_{1} \times E_{3}, \quad u_{6}=J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using Lemma 1, together with the minimality of $M$, we see that for $M$ the shape operators $A_{r}=A_{u_{r}}, r=4,5,6$ take the following forms:

$$
A_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda_{r} & \mu_{r} & 0  \tag{14}\\
\mu_{r} & -\lambda_{r} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to the tangent basis $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$.
Now, using (14), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta J E_{3}+\lambda_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta E_{1} \times E_{3}+\lambda_{3} \sin ^{2} \theta J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right), \\
& h\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=-\mu_{1} \sin \theta J E_{3}-\mu_{2} \sin \theta E_{1} \times E_{3}-\mu_{3} \sin \theta J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right), \\
& h\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=-\lambda_{1} \sin \theta \cos \theta J E_{3}-\lambda_{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta E_{1} \times E_{3}-\lambda_{3} \sin \theta \cos \theta J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right), \\
& h\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=-\lambda_{1} J E_{3}-\lambda_{2} E_{1} \times E_{3}-\lambda_{3} J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right),  \tag{15}\\
& h\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\mu_{1} \cos \theta J E_{3}+\mu_{2} \cos \theta E_{1} \times E_{3}+\mu_{3} \cos \theta J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right), \\
& h\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=\lambda_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta J E_{3}+\lambda_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta E_{1} \times E_{3}+\lambda_{3} \cos ^{2} \theta J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, putting $e_{1}=p, e_{2}=E_{1}, e_{3}=E_{2}=J E_{1}, e_{4}=E_{3}, e_{5}=J E_{3}, e_{6}=E_{1} \times E_{3}$, $e_{7}=-J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)$, we conclude that this is at every point a $G_{2}$-frame and we can at every point apply the multiplication table given in Section 2.

Next, using the definition of the almost complex structure on $S^{6}(1)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{X}\left(E_{2}\right)=D_{X}\left(J E_{1}\right)=D_{X}\left(p \times E_{1}\right)=X \times E_{1}+p \times D_{X} E_{1}, \\
& D_{X}\left(E_{4}\right)=D_{X}\left(J E_{3}\right)=D_{X}\left(p \times E_{3}\right)=X \times E_{3}+p \times D_{X} E_{3}, \\
& D_{X}\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)=D_{X} E_{1} \times E_{3}+E_{1} \times D_{X} E_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D$ is the standard connection of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$. Expressing the above equations using the formulae of Gauss (9) and Weingarten (10) together with the multiplication table, we obtain the following relations between the previously defined functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}=-\mu_{1} \sin \theta, \quad a_{3}=-\lambda_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta, \\
& b_{2}=-\lambda_{1}, \quad b_{3}=\mu_{1} \sin \theta, \\
& c_{2}=\mu_{1} \cos \theta, \quad c_{3}=\lambda_{1} \sin \theta \cos \theta, \\
& \lambda_{2}=-\mu_{3} \sin \theta, \quad \lambda_{3}=\mu_{2} \sin \theta,  \tag{16}\\
& \lambda_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta=-\mu_{3} \sin \theta, \quad \lambda_{3} \sin ^{2} \theta=\mu_{2} \sin \theta, \\
& \mu_{2} \cos \theta=-1+\lambda_{3} \sin \theta \cos \theta, \quad \mu_{3} \cos \theta=-\lambda_{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta
\end{align*}
$$

as well as the following expressions for the normal connection $\nabla^{\perp}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \stackrel{\perp}{E_{1}} J E_{3}=\left(1+\lambda_{3} \sin \theta \cos \theta\right) E_{1} \times E_{3}-\lambda_{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right), \\
& \nabla \stackrel{L}{E}_{2}^{\perp} J E_{3}=-\mu_{3} \cos \theta E_{1} \times E_{3}+\left(\mu_{2} \cos \theta-1\right) J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right), \\
& \nabla \stackrel{L}{E}_{3}^{\perp} J E_{3}=-\lambda_{3} \cos ^{2} \theta E_{1} \times E_{3}+\lambda_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right), \\
& \nabla \frac{\perp}{E_{1}} E_{1} \times E_{3}=\left(-a_{1}+\lambda_{1} \sin \theta \cos \theta\right) J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)-\left(\lambda_{3} \sin \theta \cos \theta+1\right) J E_{3}, \\
& \nabla \stackrel{\perp}{E_{2}} E_{1} \times E_{3}=-\left(b_{1}+\mu_{1} \cos \theta\right) J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)+\mu_{3} \cos \theta J E_{3}, \\
& \nabla{ }_{E_{3}}^{\perp} E_{1} \times E_{3}=-\left(c_{1}+\lambda_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta\right) J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)+\lambda_{3} \cos ^{2} \theta J E_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \stackrel{\perp}{E_{1}} J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)=\lambda_{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta J E_{3}+\left(a_{1}-\lambda_{1} \sin \theta \cos \theta\right) E_{1} \times E_{3}, \\
& \nabla \stackrel{\perp}{E_{2}} J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)=\left(1-\mu_{2} \cos \theta\right) J E_{3}+\left(b_{1}+\mu_{1} \cos \theta\right) E_{1} \times E_{3}, \\
& \nabla{ }_{E_{3}}^{\perp} J\left(E_{1} \times E_{3}\right)=-\lambda_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta J E_{3}+\left(c_{1}+\lambda_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta\right) E_{1} \times E_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (16) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}\left(\sin ^{2} \theta-1\right)=0, \quad \lambda_{3}\left(\sin ^{2} \theta-1\right)=0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we interpret the above equations in the case when $\sin ^{2} \theta=1$, i.e. $\cos \theta=0$. Then it follows that $u_{3}= \pm E_{3}$, which implies that $u_{3}$ is a totally real subspace. Since $\mathcal{D}=\left\{u_{3}\right\}$, using Lemma 2 [17] a contradiction follows.

Now, we restrict ourselves to the case when $\sin ^{2} \theta \neq 1$. Then it follows from (17) that $\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=0$. Further, from (16), it follows $\mu_{2}=0$ or $\sin \theta=0$. Since $\mu_{2}=0$ gives a contradiction, it follows that $\sin \theta=0$ and therefore, $a_{2}=a_{3}=b_{3}=c_{3}=\mu_{3}=0$, $b_{2}=-\lambda_{1}, c_{2}=\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}=-1$. Now, by a straightforward computation, using (15), we obtain that there exist orthonormal vector fields $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ defined on a neighborhood of the point $p$ and differentiable functions $\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=h\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=h\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=0 \\
& h\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=-\lambda_{1} J E_{3}, \quad h\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\mu_{1} J E_{3}-E_{1} \times E_{3}  \tag{18}\\
& h\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=\lambda_{1} J E_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using the Codazzi equation which states that $(\nabla h)(X, Y, Z)=\left(\nabla_{X} h\right)(Y, Z)=$ $\nabla_{X}^{\frac{1}{X}} h(Y, Z)-h\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-h\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)$ is totally symmetric in $X, Y$ and $Z$, we can prove the following

Lemma 4. Let $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ be the local orthogonal basis defined previously. Then $\lambda_{1}=0$ and the function $\mu_{1}$ has to satisfy the following system of differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=-1-\mu_{1}^{2}, \quad E_{2}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=0, \quad E_{3}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For example, it follows from the Codazzi equation $\left(\nabla_{E_{1}} h\right)\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=\left(\nabla_{E_{2}} h\right)\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=-\lambda_{1}\left(b_{1}+\mu_{1}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, it follows from the Codazzi equation $\left(\nabla_{E_{1}} h\right)\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=\left(\nabla_{E_{3}} h\right)\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=-2 \lambda_{1} \mu_{1}, \quad \lambda_{1}=c_{1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (20) and (21) it then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad b_{1}=\mu_{1} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining equations follow similarly from the other Codazzi equations.
Summarizing the above results, we see that we can express the components of the connection $\nabla$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{1}=0, \quad \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{2}=0, \quad \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{3}=0, \\
& \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{1}=\mu_{1} E_{2}, \quad \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{2}=-\mu_{1} E_{1}, \quad \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{3}=0,  \tag{23}\\
& \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{1}=\mu_{1} E_{3}, \quad \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{2}=0, \quad \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{3}=-\mu_{1} E_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

and we obtain that the second fundamental form is given by $h\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=h\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=$ $h\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=h\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=h\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=0, h\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\mu_{1} J E_{3}-E_{1} \times E_{3}$. It is straightforward to compute that substituting these values into the Gauss equation or the Ricci equation does not yield any new conditions.

Finally, we are now ready to introduce coordinates and construct the corresponding CRsubmanifold of $S^{6}(1)$. We look at the following system of differential equations for $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(\rho)=\rho \mu_{1}, \quad E_{2}(\rho)=0, \quad E_{3}(\rho)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{As}\left[E_{1}, E_{2}\right]=-\mu_{1} E_{2},\left[E_{1}, E_{3}\right]=-\mu_{1} E_{3}$ and $\left[E_{2}, E_{3}\right]=0$, it can be verified easily that all integrability conditions for $\rho$ are satisfied.

Hence a solution to the above system (24) exists. As $\left[E_{1}, \rho E_{2}\right]=\left[\rho E_{2}, \rho E_{3}\right]=\left[E_{1}, \rho E_{3}\right]=$ 0 , it follows that there exist coordinates $(t, u, v)$ in a neighborhood of $p \in M$, such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=E_{1}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}=\rho E_{2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v}=\rho E_{3}$ and $p$ corresponds to ( $0,0,0$ ). Using (19) and (24), it follows if necessary after translating the coordinate $t$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=-\tan t, \quad \rho=\cos t . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $f: M \rightarrow S^{6}(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{7}$ be a CR-immersion of a 3-dimensional minimal manifold satisfying Chen's basic equality. Rewriting now the formulae of Gauss (9) and Weingarten (10) using the coordinates $(t, u, v)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{t t}=-f  \tag{26}\\
& f_{t u}=\mu_{1} f_{u}  \tag{27}\\
& f_{t v}=\mu_{1} f_{v}  \tag{28}\\
& f_{u u}=-\mu_{1} \rho^{2} f_{t}-\rho^{2} f  \tag{29}\\
& f_{u v}=\rho\left(\mu_{1} f \times f_{v}-f_{t} \times f_{v}\right),  \tag{30}\\
& f_{v v}=-\rho^{2}\left(\mu_{1} f_{t}+f\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using (26), it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, v)=A(u, v) \cos t+B(u, v) \sin t \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the expression (32) for $f$ in (27) and (28), we obtain that $B$ is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^{7}$. Using now (29), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u, v)=\cos u C(v)+\sin u D(v) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the similar procedure and using (30) and (31), we obtain $B \times D^{\prime}(v)=C^{\prime}(v), B \times$ $C^{\prime}(v)=-D^{\prime}(v)$ and $C^{\prime \prime}(v)=-C(v), D^{\prime \prime}(v)=-D(v)$. Therefore, $C(v)=\cos v I+\sin v K$ and $D(v)=\cos v L+\sin v M$, where $I, K, L, M \in \mathbb{R}^{7}$ such that $B \times L=I, B \times M=K$, $B \times I=-L$ and $B \times K=-M$. Therefore, (32) and (33) imply that we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, v)=B \sin t+\cos t \cos u(\cos v I+\sin v K)+\cos t \sin u(\cos v L+\sin v M) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing the initial conditions $f(0,0,0)=u_{1}=I, E_{1}(0,0,0)=u_{2}=B, E_{2}(0,0,0)=u_{3}=$ $L, E_{3}(0,0,0)=u_{4}=K$ and $M=-u_{6}$, we obtain Eq. (2).

On the other hand, using (2), a straightforward computation shows that the converse of the Main Theorem is obvious.
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